MakeupAttack: Feature Space Black-box Backdoor **FECAL** Attack on Face Recognition via Makeup Transfer

Ming Sun, Lihua Jing, Zixuan Zhu, Rui Wang

sunming@iie.ac.cn jinglihua@iie.ac.cn Institute of Information Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China School of Cyber Security, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

Motivation

* Once the face recognition system is attacked by a backdoor, it may expose a significant security **risks**. The attacked system is susceptible to exploitation by adversaries, causing privacy disclosure. * Common backdoor attack methods are inevitably weakened in face recognition tasks, and even **cannot** successfully attack on certain datasets.





* Poisoned-based Backdoor Attack



MakeupAttack is a robust and natural deep feature backdoor attack method for face recognition via makeup transfer. Our method is publicly available on GitHub.





Contribution

TT		

Method

Overview: During the training stage, the generator training phase and backdoor training phase iterate and mutually guide each other to facilitate more effective backdoor implantation into target models. During the test stage, the backdoored model accurately predict benign samples while misclassifying the malicious samples as the predefined identity.



 \star We propose MakeupAttack, a novel feature space backdoor attack via makeup transfer. This approach seamlessly combines effectiveness, robustness, naturalness, and stealthiness.

***** We devise an **iterative** training paradigm for the trigger generator and the target model. This paradigm ensures that the target model comprehensively learns the subtle features of our triggers. To promote trigger diversity, we propose the **adaptive** reference image selection method. \star Extensive experiments across diverse facial datasets and network architectures validate the effectiveness, robustness, and resilience of our methods against various defenses. \star We construct high-quality malicious **datasets** to facilitate future research in this domain.

* Trigger Generator Training: introduce the rectification module to the PSGAN framework. * Backdoor Training: generate malicious samples using the trained trigger generator, and jointly train the target model with the remaining benign samples

* Adaptive Selection: adaptively select the most suitable reference image from the reference set using normalized mutual information (NMI).

Defenses	Results											
We have tested many defense methods:	Dataset \downarrow Network \rightarrow Inception-v3 ResNet-50 VGG-16 Attack \downarrow ASR(%) BA(%) ASR(%) BA(%) ASR(%) BA(%) ASR(%) BA(%)							Average ASR(%) BA(%)				
 STRIP Signature Spectral SentiNet Fine-pruning Channel Lipschitzness Pruning (CLP) Neural Cleanse (NC) 	PubFig	Clean Model BadNets Blend SIG Refool WaNet ISSBA MakeupAttack† MakeupAttack	100.00 100.00 3.23 17.28 19.59 63.82 97.00 <u>97.47</u>	92.40 92.17 91.47 88.94 91.47 84.79 66.82 90.32 90.32 92.17	100.00 100.00 13.59 25.88 23.96 99.31 97.31 98.16	89.17 83.64 <u>86.18</u> 83.64 84.79 79.49 73.04 85.24 90.74	100.00 100.00 16.36 31.80 27.19 11.06 91.94 92.47	85.48 85.25 84.79 84.71 79.95 77.88 67.74 79.72 85.25	100.00 100.00 111.06 24.99 23.58 58.06 95.41 96.03	89.02 87.02 <u>87.48</u> 85.76 85.40 80.72 69.20 85.09 85.09 89.39		
Conclusions Here are some key takeaways: 1. novel makeup-style trigger 2. iterative training paradigm 3. adaptive selection method 4. high-quality malicious datasets	VGGFace2	Clean Model BadNets Blend SIG Refool WaNet ISSBA MakeupAttack† MakeupAttack	99.50 100.00 15.61 46.10 99.66 100.00 99.56 99.70	98.45 <u>97.79</u> 97.96 97.65 97.55 80.80 97.34 97.66	99.51 100.00 31.51 58.79 100.00 100.00 99.70 99.89	98.52 98.35 98.42 98.24 98.26 98.39 73.24 98.12 98.12 98.47	99.68 100.00 100.00 99.35 100.00 100.00 99.75 <u>99.90</u>	99.16 98.90 98.92 98.93 98.90 99.10 76.62 98.81 <u>98.94</u>		98.71 98.34 98.43 98.30 98.27 98.34 76.89 98.09 <u>98.35</u>		